WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Date:	Z-Z-18 Inspector: W	Tell				
Time: 8:45 Weather Conditions: Summy Cool						
	1	Yes	No	Notes		
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	1)				
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?					
· 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?	V				
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.					
CCR F	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))				
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.		i			
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?					
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?					
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?			-		
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.					
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.					
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	-				
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?					
Addition	ial Notes					

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015_xlsx

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB LANSING LANDEILL

Weather Conditions: _____ Coo Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR? Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust 5. suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill? 6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? 7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. 9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. 10_ Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question 11. Were the citizen complaints logged? Additional Notes:

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Weather Conditions: ____ Coo Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing Were conditions observed within the cells 2. containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or 3. within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill? 6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? 7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the 8. landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. 9. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. 10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question Were the citizen complaints logged?

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015.xlsx

Additional Notes:

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB LANSING LANDFILL

Date:	3-14-18 Inspector: 37	Le	<u>à</u>				
Time: 3:30 Weather Conditions: When Son							
·	·	Yes	No	Notes			
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	4)					
1-	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?	·	X				
- 2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?	X					
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.	7					
CCR F	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)((4))					
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.		X				
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?		•				
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?						
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?			•			
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.						
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.						
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question						
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?						
Additions	al Notes:						

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015_xlsx